



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/483,467 01/13/00 DANNER

D R087 1100

EXAMINER

PM51/0215

D Scott Sudderth Esq
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice PLLC
1201 West Peachtree Street NE Suite 3500
Atlanta GA 30309

JOHNSON, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3641

DATE MAILED:

//
02/15/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/483,467

Applicant(s)
Danner et al.

Examiner
Stephen M. Johnson

Group Art Unit
3641



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen M. Johnson (3) _____
(2) D. Scott Sudderth (4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 13, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all claims

Identification of prior art discussed:
Harthcock

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed paragraphs 1-5 of the Office action outstanding. Discussed whether it would be appropriate (in a case that is after final) to add the language directed to the recapture issue to the current independent claims. The examiner stated that this would be inappropriate after final because it would require further consideration on both an issue of 35 USC 112 as well as on an issue of art to make a patentability determination. Also discussed whether RCE practice and/or CPA practice is available in a Reissue application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.